

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3788-07/2024**

**Kamran Saqi**

**Vs**

**National Database and Registration Authority (NADRA)**

September 18, 2024

Salman Yousaf, Assistant Director, NADRA appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. Information provided by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 02-09-2024 under RGL No. 139877801. No rejoinder has been received moreover, the public body stated the information does not pertain to them as such action are taken by the local government.
3. In view of this, no further proceedings are required. The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3831-08/2024**

**Kashif Ali Sundrani**

**Vs**

**Oil and Gas Development Company Limited (OGDCL)**

September 18, 2024

Abdul Basit Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The appellant has sent rejoinder in response to the information provided by the public body to him. He has asked about payment of remuneration to well chowkidars at the rate of Rs 32000/- per head from 01-07-2023 in accordance with the government decision. The public body has provided reply to the rejoinder and stated categorically that they do not pay any such amount as they have not hired any well-chowkidars. In view of this, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3830-07/2024**

**Amir Ahmad**

**Vs**

**The Shipping Master, Government Shipping Office**

September 18,2024

Appellant present in person. Shamshad Khalil Director (Lit.), Ministry of Maritime Affairs appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The representative of the Ministry has submitted the information on behalf of the public body which is handed over to the appellant. He has also submitted reply to show cause notice under the hand of the Shipping Master who has stated that she was managing the first ever high-level visit of the Secretary-General, of the International Maritime Organization. She has stated that her absence was not intentional and sought an excuse. In view of the reasons mentioned in her reply, the show cause notice is hereby withdrawn. The appellant has examined the information provided by the public body which meets his information request. The information provided by the public body is otherwise complete and objective. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copies of the order be sent to both the parties

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3691-05/2024**

**Abdul Samee**

**Vs**

**Federal Ombudsman Secretariat for Protection against Harassment of Women at  
Workplace (FOSPAH)**

September 18, 2024

None present on behalf of the public body.

2. The information provided by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 29-08-24 under RGL No. 139877829. No response has been received meaning thereby that the appellant is satisfied with the information provided to him. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3733-06/2024**

**Ch. Ashraf Ali Gujjar**

**Vs**

**Zarai Taraqati Bank Limited (ZTBL)**

September 18, 2024

Muhammad Yar Khan Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The response of the public body was shared with the appellant who has sent a rejoinder. Most part of which is his opinion about the public body and some of its officials. The Commission is concerned with the information request and response of the public body and to see whether it meets the requirements of the law. The Commission also takes note of the pertinent points mentioned in the rejoinder of the appellant. The crux of the matter after the examination is as under;

Serial No. 1 of the information request, the public body has stated categorically that there is no dismissal order dated 14-09-2020 however, an office memorandum dated 14-09-2020 has been provided to the appellant.

Serial No. 2: The public body has attached OM dated 25-01-2022 which contains necessary details.

Serial No. 3: Self-explanatory OM dated 14-09-2020 has been provided by the public body which does not specifically talk about the back benefits. In view of the above position, no further proceedings are required. The appeal is disposed of. However, if the appellant feels that the public body has made a wrong/false statement he may approach this Commission or any other forum for carrying out inquiry against the public body. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner